



COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE TRAFFIC COLLISION FACT BOOK (2009)

Prepared for:

District Department of Transportation

Infrastructure Project Management Administration Safety, Standards and Quality Control Division

September 2010

Prepared by: Precision Systems, Inc.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. DDOT-IPMA-2010-002		2	2. Report Date September 29, 201	0			
3. Title and Subtitle Commercial Motor Vehicle Tra	ook I	4. Contract or Grant N POKA-2006-T-002	No. 28-JJ				
5. Author(s) Jianwei Wang, James See, Mike Mesfin Lakew, Yusuf Aden	e Houh	1					
 6. Performing Organization Name ar Precision Systems, Inc. 2713 Newlands St. N.W. Washington, DC 20015 	7. Type of Report and Covered. Crash Data Analys I/1/2009 ~ 12/31/2	d Period Sis 2009					
 8. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address District Department of Transportation Infrastructure Project Management Administration Safety, Standards and Quality Control Division 64 New York Ave. N.E. Washington, DC 20002 							
9. Supplementary Notes							
10. Abstract							
This report disseminates crash information involving Commercial Motor Vehicles (CMV). The 2009 traffic crash report (PD-10) collected by Washington, DC Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) are the basic of this report. The statistics of CMV-involved traffic crashes focuses on driver, location, severity type, vehicle type, collision type, time of the crashes and various external conditions in DC. The high hazard intersections and corridors with high CMV-involved crash frequencies were also identified. In addition, this report can be used to identify potential traffic accident risks associated with CMVs in DC.							
11. Key Words12. Distribution StatementCommercial Motor Vehicle, Truck, Bus, Crash, Safety, Traffic Accident, Statistics, Frequency, Rate, Severity, Fatal, Injury, High hazard locations12. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is available through DDOT.							
13. Security Classif.(of this report) Unclassified	14. Security Classif.(of Unclassified	this page)	15. No. of Pages 61	16. Price N/A			

Table of Contents

CMV Traffic (Collisions Quick Facts	1
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	3
CHAPTER 2	GENERAL INFORMATION	4
2.1 CMV T	raffic Collision Trend	4
2.2 Top Prin	nary Contributing Factors	6
CHAPTER 3	CMV COLLISION CHARACTERISTICS	7
3.1 The driv	/er	7
3.1.1 Age	and Sex of CMV Drivers Involved in CMV Traffic Collisions	7
3.1.2 Age	and Sex of Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions	9
3.1.3 Reg	stration of CMVs and Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions	10
3.2 Time	11	
3.2.1 CM	V Collisions by Time of Day	11
3.2.2 CM	V Collisions by Day of Week	14
3.2.3 CM	V Collisions by Month of Year	14
3.3 Location	n 15	
3.3.1 CM	V Collisions by Quadrant	15
3.3.2 CM	V Collisions by Ward	16
3.3.3 CM	V Collisions by Advisory Neighborhood Commission	17
3.3.4 CM	V Collisions by Police District	
3.3.5 CM	V Collisions by Construction Zone	
3.3.6 CM	V Collisions by On-Street Location	21
3.4 Crash C	lassification	22
3.4.1 Cras	h Severity Type	22
3.4.2 Type	e of Crash	
3.4.3 Hit a	and Run Crashes	
3.4.4 Cras	hes involving Pedestrian	
5.4.5 Cras	n by CM v Classifications	
3.5 Environ	ment	29
3.5.1 CM	V Collisions by Roadway Type	29
3.5.2 CM	V Collisions by Roadway Conditions	
3.5.3 CM	V Collisions by Road Surface	
5.5.4 CM	V Collisions by Weather Conditions	
3.5.5 CM	V Collisions by Weather Conditions	
3.5.0 CM	V Collisions by Traffic Conditions	
3.5.7 CM	etine Circumstance	
3.0 Contribution 3.6 L CMU	ung Urcumstance	
3.0.1 CM	v Collisions by Clash Collinouting Factors	
3.0.2 CM $3.6.3$ Con	v Compions by Resulating Ose (Sealdens of Allbags)	
3.0.3 Coll 3.6.4 CM	V Collisions by Sobriety	
J.U.+ CIVI		

3.	6.5 Distractions	41
СНАР	TER 4 IDENTIFICATION OF HIGH FREQUENCY CMV CRASH LOCATION	43
4.1	Top 20 Intersections by Number of CMV Crashes in 2009	43
4.2	Top 20 intersections by Number of CMV Crashes from 2007 through 2009	45
4.3	High Frequency Crash Intersection by Collision Type	47
4.4	High Frequency CMV Crash Corridors	48
СНАР	TER 5 APPENDICES	51
5.1	New PD10 Form - Traffic Crash Report	51
5.2	New PD10B – Traffic Crash Report Supplemental	55
5.3	Coding Sheet	57

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 CMV Traffic Collision Trend from 2005 through 2009	4
Figure 2.2 Trend of CMV Collisions from 2005 through 2009	5
Figure 2.3 Top Ten Primary Contributing Factors for CMV Collisions	6
Figure 3.1 Age and Sex of CMV Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions	7
Figure 3.2 Summary of Injury Severity by Age Group of CMV Drivers	8
Figure 3.3 Summary of Injury Severity by Gender of CMV Drivers	8
Figure 3.4 Age and Sex of Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions	9
Figure 3.5 Injury Severity for Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Collisions by Age Group	10
Figure 3.6 Injury Severity for Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Collisions by Gender	10
Figure 3.7 CMV Collisions by Vehicle Registration State and Driver Permit State	11
Figure 3.8 Weekday CMV Collisions by Hour of Day	12
Figure 3.9 Weekend CMV Collisions by Hour of Day	12
Figure 3.10 Weekday CMV Collisions by Hour of Day	13
Figure 3.11 Weekend CMV Collisions by Hour of Day	13
Figure 3.12 CMV Collisions by Day of Week	14
Figure 3.13 CMV Collisions by Month	15
Figure 3.14 CMV Collisions, Fatalities and Injuries by Ward	16
Figure 3.16 CMV Collisions, Fatalities, and Injuries by Police District	20
Figure 3.17 CMV Collisions by Construction Zone	21
Figure 3.18 CMV Collisions by On-Street Location	22
Figure 3.19 Total Motor Vehicle Crashes in 2009 by Severity Type	23
Figure 3.20 Overall CMV Collisions in 2009 by Severity Type	23
Figure 3.21 Overall CMV Collisions by Injury Severity	24
Figure 3.22 Distribution of CMV Crashes by Collision Type	25
Figure 3.23 Number and Percentage of Hit and Run CMV Collisions 2005~2009	26
Figure 3.24 Pedestrian Involved CMV Collisions in 2009	27
Figure 3.25 Number and Percentage of Pedestrian Involved Collisions 2005~2009	28
Figure 3.26 CMV Collisions by Vehicle Type	29
Figure 3.27 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Road Type	30
Figure 3.28 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Road Condition	31
Figure 3.29 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Road Surface	32
Figure 3.30 Number of CMV Collisions and Injuries per lane-mile by Road Surface	32
Figure 3.31 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Roadway Functional Classification	33
Figure 3.32 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Weather	34
Figure 3.33 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Light Condition	35
Figure 3.34 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Traffic Condition	36
Figure 3.35 Top Ten Primary Contributing Factors for Injury CMV Collisions	37
Figure 3.36 Percentage of CMV Collisions by Seat Belt Usage	38
Figure 3.37 Percentage of CMV Collisions by Airbag Usage	39
Figure 3.38 Percentage of Total and Speed Involved CMV Collisions	40
Figure 3.39 Percentage of Total and Speed Involved CMV Collisions by Injury Severity	40
Figure 3.40 Percentage of DUI Involved CMV Collisions	41
Figure 3.41 Percentage of Distraction Involved CMV Collisions by Injury Severity	
Figure 4.1 Top 50 High Hazard Intersections in 2009	44
Figure 4.2 Top 50 High Hazard Intersections (2007 \sim 2009)	46
Figure 4.3 Summary of High Frequency Crash Corridors for CMV	49
Figure 4.4 Map of Corridors with High Frequency Crash	50

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Overall and Injuries of CMV Drivers by Age Group and Gender	7
Table 3.2 Overall and Injuries of Non-CMV Drivers by Age Group and Gender	9
Table 3.3 Overall CMV Collisions by Hour and Injury Severity	11
Table 3.4 CMV Collisions by Day of Week	14
Table 3.5 CMV Collisions by Month of Year	14
Table 3.6 CMV Collisions by Quadrant	15
Table 3.7 CMV Collisions by Ward	17
Table 3.8 Overall CMV Collisions by ANC, Fatality and Injury	17
Table 3.9 CMV Collisions by Police District	18
Table 3.10 CMV Collisions by Construction Zone	21
Table 3.11 CMV Collisions by Location Type	22
Table 3.12 Overall CMV Collisions by Injury Severity (2005 ~ 2009)	23
Table 3.13 CMV Collision Type by Injury Severity	24
Table 3.14 CMV Classification by Injury Severity	28
Table 3.15 CMV Collisions by Roadway Type	29
Table 3.16 CMV Collisions by Roadway Conditions	30
Table 3.17 CMV Collisions by Road Surface	31
Table 3.18 CMV Collisions by Roadway Functional Classification	33
Table 3.19 CMV Collisions by Weather Conditions	34
Table 3.20 CMV Collisions by Light Conditions	35
Table 3.21 CMV Collisions by Traffic Conditions	36
Table 3.22 CMV Collisions by Crash Contributing Factors	37
Table 3.23 CMV Collisions by Seat Belt Use	38
Table 3.24 CMV Collisions by Motor Vehicle Airbag Use	39
Table 3.25 CMV Collisions by Driver Distractions	42
Table 4.1 Top 20 Intersections by Number of CMV Crash in 2009	43
Table 4.2 Top 20 Intersections by Number of CMV Crashes (2007 ~ 2009)	45
Table 4.3 Top 20 Hazardous Intersections by Collision Type (2007~2009)	47
Table 4.4 Top 10 High Frequency CMV Crash Locations (2007 ~ 2009)	48
Table 4.5 Summary of High Frequency Crash Corridors (2007~2009)	49

Quick Eacts	2007				2008		2009		
QUICK Facts	Truck	Bus	CMV	Truck	Bus	CMV	Truck	Bus	CMV
Total Collisions	1,749	1,196	2,802	1,030	1,373	2,336	967	1,418	2,297
Fatal Collisions	1	3	4	2	2	4	1	1	2
Injury Collisions	360	166	513	183	194	366	145	200	337
PDO Collisions	1,387	1,027	2,284	845	1,177	1,966	821	1,217	1,958
Fatalities	1	3	4	2	3	5	1	1	2
Non-Fatal Injuries	575	332	870	276	370	628	210	400	592
Total Vehicle Involved	3,554	2,383	5,643	2,055	2,705	4,629	1,882	2,734	4,445
Total Trucks Involved	1,881	143	1,881	1,090	68	1,090	1,012	88	1,012
Total Buses Involved	143	1,241	1,241	67	1,446	1,446	88	1,478	1,478
Total Persons Involved	3,893	3,325	6,845	2,064	3,425	5,336	1,782	3,460	5,028
Total Pedestrians Involved	39	24	61	12	15	27	12	20	32

CMV Traffic Collisions Quick Facts

Definition:

Commercial Motor Vehicles (CMV) are identified by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) as:

- Any truck that has a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of more than 10,000 pounds or a gross combination weight rating (GCWR) of more than 10,000 pounds used on public highways
- Any motor vehicle with seating to transport nine (9) or more people, including the driver's seat

• Any motor vehicle displaying a hazardous materials placard (regardless of weight) Additionally, motor vehicle collisions involving CMVs, which resulted in a fatality, an injury or a tow-away are classified as reportable crashes to FMCSA.

Note:

- A new traffic crash report (PD-10) was adopted by the Metropolitan Police Department in May 2008 to obtain crucial crash information.
- Prior to May 2008, the CMV-related crashes were not classified distinctively based on the FMCSA standards. For examples:
 - No information about GVWR or GCWR for trucks
 - o No information about displaying a hazardous materials placard for all vehicles
 - o No information about number of seats for a bus, a van or other vehicles

• Following the PD-10 form update, all CMV-related crashes were recorded and classified based on the FMCSA standards. Police officers need to identify the CMVs and fill in the CMV type field in the new PD-10 form. The summary of all 2490 CMV types involved in traffic crashes in 2009 is presented in the following chart and table.



•	Computations indicated that in the year 2009 there was only one "Passenger Auto"
	displayed the hazardous materials placard. For display purposes, this record was
	not included in this report.

- Due to the above changes, the CMV collisions trend from 2007 through 2009 were not analyzed in this report except for the chapter of general information.
- Prior to the new PD-10 format, all trucks regardless of their weight were recorded as CMVs in this report. This has contributed to the decrease of truck collisions in 2008 and 2009, as shown in the quick facts section.
- With the newly improved PD-10 form, all motor vehicles with seats for nine (9) or more people were recorded as CMVs in this report. This has contributed to the increase of bus collisions in 2008 and 2009, as shown in the quick facts section.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

This report is published by the District of Columbia Department of Transportation (DDOT) to provide traffic crash statistics information and the high frequency crash locations for CMVs in the year 2009. This report contains information that provides for a comprehensive look at CMV-related crash issues in the District of Columbia (DC). On average, trucks comprise approximately 5% of the traffic in DC, based on a prior study: District of Columbia, Motor Carrier Management and Threat Assessment Study, 2003.

All crash statistics included in this report were developed based on the data obtained through the Traffic Crash Report (PD-10) from the DC Metropolitan Police Departments. The crash data were recorded and maintained through the Traffic Accident Reporting and Analysis System (TARAS).

In an effort to improve traffic safety in DC, a new traffic crash report form (PD-10) was adopted in May 2008. The new PD-10 form consists of more data fields than the previous form. These new data fields were designed to identify major causes of motor vehicle crashes in DC.

While the identification of motor vehicle crashes is important, understanding of CMV crashes such as trucks and buses is also critical. The most significant effect of CMV collisions is the crash severity. In order to mitigate CMV crashes, it is important to understand the underlying reasons for these CMV crash occurrences. The focus of this report is to disseminate CMV-involved crash information and to provide related agencies with important CMV collision data.

In addition, the results of the analysis can be used to identify potential traffic accident risks of a CMV in DC. For instance, mitigation strategies such as dedicated truck or bus routes and truck restrictions can be implemented to further improve the existing traffic circulation conditions. Furthermore, truck congestion issues can be alleviated by enhancing the level of safety and mobility utilizing the results of this report.

CHAPTER 2 GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 CMV Traffic Collision Trend

Figure 2.1 presents a summary of crash statistics for CMVs and non-CMVs. As shown in this figure, the overall crash frequency for all vehicle types fluctuates from year to year, however, the total number of collisions showed a general downward trend. In contrast, the total number of bus collisions from 2005 to 2009 showed an increase.



Figure 2.1 CMV Traffic Collision Trend from 2005 through 2009



Figure 2.2 Trend of CMV Collisions from 2005 through 2009

2.2 Top Primary Contributing Factors

Commercial motor vehicle crashes are generally more severe than other motor vehicles. It is therefore very important to understand the underlying reasons and factors that contributed to this type of collision. In this report, ten primary contributing factors for all CMV crashes in 2009 were analyzed to provide an overview of the most significant causes of CMV crashes, which is presented in Figure 2.3. As the figure shown, driver inattention, changing lane(s) without caution and following too closely are the top three primary contributing factors for all CMV crashes in DC in 2009. A similar list of top contributing factors was observed for 2009 overall crashes in DC.



Figure 2.3 Top Ten Primary Contributing Factors for CMV Collisions

CHAPTER 3 CMV COLLISION CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 The driver

3.1.1 Age and Sex of CMV Drivers Involved in CMV Traffic Collisions

As shown in Table 3.1 below, the age group of 36 to 45 was recorded as the highest age group for both male and female drivers in overall CMV drivers, while for injuries of CMV drivers, the age group of 46 to 55 was observed to be the highest. The summaries are also presented graphically in Figures 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3.

Total CMV Drivers					Injuries of CMV Driver				
Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total
16 to 25	18	49	0	67	16 to 25	3	2	0	5
26 to 35	101	251	1	353	26 to 35	5	7	0	12
36 to 45	118	375	2	495	36 to 45	9	12	0	21
46 to 55	77	325	1	403	46 to 55	5	15	0	20
56 to 65	22	131	1	154	56 to 65	2	7	0	9
66 to 75	2	47	1	50	66 to 75	0	1	0	1
76 to 85	1	3	0	4	76 to 85	0	0	0	0
86 & older	1	0	0	1	86 & older	0	0	0	0
Unknown	17	60	886	963	Unknown	1	2	0	3
Total	357	1,241	892	2,490	Total	25	46	0	71

Table 3.1 Overall and Injuries of CMV Drivers by Age Group and Gender



Figure 3.1 Age and Sex of CMV Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions



Figure 3.2 Summary of Injury Severity by Age Group of CMV Drivers



Figure 3.3 Summary of Injury Severity by Gender of CMV Drivers

3.1.2 Age and Sex of Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions

For the non-CMV drivers involved CMV collisions, a similar trend was observed. For the injuries of non-CMV drivers, however, the age groups of 26 to 35 male drivers and 36 to 45 female drivers were found to be the highest among all age groups presented.

Total Non-CMV Driver					Injuries of Non-CMV Driver				
Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total
16 to 25	92	89	1	182	16 to 25	17	11	0	28
26 to 35	125	161	0	286	26 to 35	19	21	0	40
36 to 45	131	161	0	292	36 to 45	20	16	0	36
46 to 55	86	145	0	231	46 to 55	15	17	0	32
56 to 65	50	86	0	136	56 to 65	4	6	0	10
66 to 75	21	30	0	51	66 to 75	1	4	0	5
76 to 85	9	10	0	19	76 to 85	2	1	0	3
86 & older	2	9	0	11	86 & older	0	1	0	1
Unknown	23	47	677	747	Unknown	1	7	3	11
Total	539	738	678	1,955	Total	79	84	3	166

Table 3.2 Overall and Injuries of Non-CMV Drivers by Age Group and Gender



Figure 3.4 Age and Sex of Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions



Figure 3.5 Injury Severity for Non-CMV Drivers in CMV Collisions by Age Group





3.1.3 Registration of CMVs and Drivers in CMV Traffic Collisions

Figure 3.7 presents the summary of commercial vehicle registration and driver permit state in CMV collisions. The results show that the majority of CMVs and drivers involved in CMV crashes in the District were registered to Washington, DC and Maryland in 2009.



Figure 3.7 CMV Collisions by Vehicle Registration State and Driver Permit State

3.2 Time

3.2.1 CMV Collisions by Time of Day

As shown in Table 3.3, a greater number of CMV crashes were reported between the hours of 12PM and 6PM, and approximately 46% of the CMV crashes resulting in injuries occurred during this 7-hour period. The results are also presented in Figures 3.8 and 3.9.

Hour	Crashes	Fatalities	Injuries
0	34	0	9
1	20	0	6
2	18	0	6
3	13	0	2
4	9	0	4
5	26	0	9
6	55	0	12
7	144	0	50
8	222	0	54
9	174	0	32
10	147	0	25
11	150	0	55
12	122	0	28
13	141	1	94
14	142	0	35
15	168	0	28
16	218	0	48
17	140	0	22

18	116	1	19
19	74	0	13
20	46	0	5
21	42	0	6
22	40	0	13
23	36	0	17
Total	2,297	2	592



Figure 3.8 Weekday CMV Collisions by Hour of Day



Figure 3.9 Weekend CMV Collisions by Hour of Day

As shown in Figure 3.10, during weekdays, the higher frequency of bus collisions was observed during the peak hours at around 7AM to 9AM and 3PM to 6PM, while the higher frequency of truck collisions was at around 9AM to 4PM. During weekends, the higher frequency of both truck and bus collisions was observed during daytime.



Figure 3.10 Weekday CMV Collisions by Hour of Day



Figure 3.11 Weekend CMV Collisions by Hour of Day

3.2.2 CMV Collisions by Day of Week

As shown in Table 3.4 and Figure 3.12 below, the frequency of collisions which occurred over weekends were found to be considerably lower than those occurring on weekdays.

Weekday	Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries	
Sunday	133	0	18	
Monday	370	1	114	
Tuesday	432	1	143	
Wednesday	395	0	91	
Thursday	381	0	86	
Friday	404	0	101	
Saturday	182	0	39	
Total	2,297	2	592	

Table 3.4 CMV Collisions by Day of Week



Figure 3.12 CMV Collisions by Day of Week

3.2.3 CMV Collisions by Month of Year

Table 3.5 and Figure 3.13 show the frequency of CMV crashes by the month of year.

Month	Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
1	193	0	56
2	175	0	32
3	204	0	46
4	200	0	87
5	177	0	30
6	170	0	34
7	178	0	42
8	171	0	52
9	181	0	56

Table 3.5 CMV Collisions by Month of Year

10	219	1	63
11	208	0	41
12	221	1	53
Total	2,297	2	592



Figure 3.13 CMV Collisions by Month

3.3 Location

3.3.1 CMV Collisions by Quadrant

Based on the results presented in Table 3.6, it can be observed that the Northwest (NW) quadrant recorded the highest number of reported CMV collisions and associated injuries. This is due to the fact that the NW quadrant occupies 42.65% of DC area and the Central Business District is located in this quadrant with a considerable volume of CMV traffic. Also, a substantial percentage of the on-going construction is occurring in the NW quadrant, which contributes to heavy truck volumes.

Quadrant	Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
NW	I 1,183 1		229
NE	NE 465 1		140
SE	351 0		100
SW	93	0	31
Border	193	0	90
Unknown	12	0	2
Total	2,297	2	592

Table 3.6 CMV Collisions by Quadrant

Note: NW=Northwest, NE=Northeast, SE=Southeast, SW=Southwest

3.3.2 CMV Collisions by Ward

Washington, DC is divided into eight (8) wards and each ward consists of various designated neighborhoods. The results of the CMV collisions by Ward presented in Figure 3.14 and Table 3.7 show that Ward 2 recorded the highest number of collisions in 2009.



Figure 3.14 CMV Collisions, Fatalities and Injuries by Ward

Ward	Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries	
1	185	0	45	
2	627	0	92	
3	133	0	22	
4	125	0	21	
5	275	1	59	
6	314	1	102	
7	156	0	49	
8	155	0	52	
Border	230	0	116	
Unknown	97	0	34	
Total	2,297	2	592	

Table 3.7 CMV Collisions by Ward

3.3.3 CMV Collisions by Advisory Neighborhood Commission

Washington, DC consists of 37 Advisory Neighborhood Commissions (ANC). The summary of the data presented in Table 3.8 shows that the ANC borders, 2B (Dupont Circle), 5B (Arboretum, Brentwood, Brookland, Carver, Langdon, Langston, Ivy City, Trinidad) and 6C (Near Northeast, Penn Quarter, Union Station) were the areas with the highest reported CMV crashes in 2009. This is also illustrated in Figure 3.15.

ANC	Description	Total Collision	Fatality	Injury
1A	Columbia Heights, Pleasant Plains	52	0	12
1B	Cardozo, Howard University, LeDroit Park, Shaw	65	0	13
1C	Adams Morgan, Kalorama Heights, Lanier Heights, Western U Street	33	0	2
1D	Mount Pleasant	10	0	4
2A	Foggy Bottom, West End	102	0	10
2B	Dupont Circle	135	0	19
2C	Blagden Alley, Chinatown, Logan Circle, Mount Vernon Square, Shaw	60	0	18
2D	Kalorama, Sheridan	4	0	1
2E	Burleith, Georgetown, Hilandale	102	0	9
2F	Logan Circle	104	0	17
3B	Cathedral Heights, Glover Park	5	0	0
3C	Cathedral Heights, Cleveland Park, Massachusetts Heights, McLean Gardens, Woodley Park	52	0	5
3D	American University, Foxhall, Kent, The Palisades, Spring Valley, Wesley Heights	22	0	5
3E	American University Park, Friendship Heights, Tenleytown	19	0	1
3F	Forest Hills, North Cleveland Park, Tenleytown	13	0	7
3G	Chevy Chase	18	0	3
4A	Brightwood, Colonial Village, Crestwood, Shepherd Park, Sixteenth Street Heights	13	0	0
4B	Brightwood, Lamond-Riggs, Manor Park, Riggs Park, South Manor Park, Takoma	26	0	4
4C	Columbia Heights, Crestwood, Petworth, Sixteenth Street Heights	35	0	7

4D	Petworth	8	0	0
5A	Brookland, Fort Lincoln, Michigan Park, North Michigan Park, University Heights, Woodridge	54	0	10
5B	Arboretum, Brentwood, Brookland, Carver, Langdon, Langston, Ivy City, Trinidad	133	1	23
5C	Bloomingdale, Eckington, Edgewood	71	0	22
6A	North Lincoln Park, Rosedale, Stanton Park	32	0	21
6B	Barney Circle, Capitol Hill, Eastern Market	87	0	16
6C	Near Northeast, Penn Quarter, Union Station	125	1	38
6D	Carrollsburg, Fort McNair, Navy Yard, Near Southwest/Southeast, Waterfront	73	0	23
7A	Fort Dupont, Greenway, River Terrace	29	0	15
7B	Fairfax Village, Hillcrest, Penn Branch, Randle Highlands	27	0	5
7C	Burrville, Deanwood, Grant Park, Lincoln Heights	16	0	3
7D	Eastland Gardens, Kenilworth, Kingman Park, Mayfair	40	0	15
7E	Benning Heights, Capitol View, Fort Davis, Marshall Heights	12	0	4
8A	Anacostia, Fairlawn, Fort Stanton, Hillsdale	38	0	7
8B	Garfield Heights, Knox Hill, Shipley Terrace	24	0	25
8C	Barry Farms, Bolling Air Force Base, Congress Heights, St. Elizabeths Hospital	46	0	10
8D	Bellevue, Far Southwest	21	0	14
8E	Congress Heights, Valley Green, Washington Highlands	22	0	17
Brd.	Border between ANCs	472	0	153
Unk.	Unknown	97	0	34
	Total	2,297	2	592

3.3.4 CMV Collisions by Police District

The traffic crash reports (PD-10 forms) were provided by the DC Metropolitan Police Departments which comprises of 7 Districts. Each crash that occurred within the Police District (PD) was managed and distributed by that district, the summary of which is presented in Table 3.11. As shown in Table 3.9, District 1 (636) recorded the highest number of CMV crashes in 2009 followed by District 2 (538).

PD	Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
1	636	1	212
2	538	0	69
3	265	0	56
4	171	0	31
5	298	1	62

2,297

 Table 3.9 CMV Collisions by Police District

Unknown Total



Figure 3.15 CMV Collisions, Fatalities, and Injuries by ANC



Figure 3.16 CMV Collisions, Fatalities, and Injuries by Police District

3.3.5 CMV Collisions by Construction Zone

Crashes in construction zones have been noted to be on the rise in recent years. Table 3.10 and Figure 3.17 show that the frequency and proportions of construction versus non-construction zone crashes described. As observed in the illustration, a total of 153 construction zone crashes were observed in 2009, that is, approximately 7% of the total CMV crashes. For overall crashes in 2009, about 4% of them occurred at construction zones.

Construction Zone	Total collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Construction Zone	153	0	20	133	0	49
Not construction Zone	2,144	2	317	1,825	2	543
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.10 CMV Collisions by Construction Zone





3.3.6 CMV Collisions by On-Street Location

In order to mitigate the severity of a crash, it is crucial to identify and compare the intersection and non-intersection related crashes. Based on the results presented in Table 3.11 and Figure 3.18, it was found that approximately 74% of the CMV collisions typically occurred at or within 100 feet of intersections.

On Street	Total collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
At Intersection	632	0	145	487	0	241
Within 100' of Intersection	1,071	0	117	954	0	197
Not at Intersection	520	2	65	453	2	142
Private Property	19	0	3	16	0	3
Other	16	0	3	13	0	4
Unknown	39	0	4	35	0	5
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592





Figure 3.18 CMV Collisions by On-Street Location

3.4 Crash Classification

3.4.1 Crash Severity Type

Crash classification continues to be an important severity indicator that helps government agencies and local authorities to examine the traffic safety issues at particular intersections or corridors. The summary of CMV crash severity by type from 2005 through 2009 is presented in Table 3.12. From the results, fatal, injury, and PDO CMV collisions comprise

of approximately 0.09%, 14.67% and 85.24%, respectively, in 2009. The overall collision of CMVs in year 2009 is presented graphically in Figure 3.20.

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Fatal Collisions	13	8	5	4	2
Injury Collisions	634	561	513	366	337
PDO Collisions	2,330	2,429	2,284	1,966	1,958
Total	2,977	2,998	2,802	2,336	2,297

 Table 3.12 Overall CMV Collisions by Injury Severity (2005 ~ 2009)



Figure 3.19 Total Motor Vehicle Crashes in 2009 by Severity Type



Figure 3.20 Overall CMV Collisions in 2009 by Severity Type

Figure 3.21 showed the total number of CMV collisions for fatal, injury, and PDO crashes from 2005 to 2009. The crash frequency for all three CMV severity type showed a general downward trend.



Figure 3.21 Overall CMV Collisions by Injury Severity

3.4.2 Type of Crash

In Table 3.13 and Figure 3.22, the summary of CMV crashes in 2009 shows that side swiped (45.6%), rear end (11.1%), and right turn hit vehicle (8.7%) were the three most frequently reported collision types. For overall crashes in 2009, the top three most frequently reported collisions types were the same list, while the side swiped collisions only were only reported as 23.1% of overall crashes.

Table 3.13 CMV Collision	Type by Injury Severity
--------------------------	-------------------------

2008	Total collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Side Swiped	1,047	0	68	979	0	127
Rear End	254	0	85	169	0	188
Right Turn Hit Vehicle	199	0	28	171	0	39
Parked Vehicle	194	0	8	186	0	10
Left Turn Hit Vehicle	128	0	27	101	0	48
Right Angle	111	0	32	79	0	45
Other	92	0	18	74	0	23
Unknown	59	0	11	48	0	18

Backing Hit Parked Vehicle	56	0	6	50	0	7
Backing Hit Moving Vehicle	44	0	1	43	0	2
Fixed Object	43	0	5	38	0	9
Head On	20	0	11	9	0	27
Straight Hit Pedestrian	20	2	17	1	2	19
Ran Off Roadway	8	0	4	4	0	12
Left Turn Hit Pedestrian	7	0	7	0	0	7
Right Turn Hit Pedestrian	6	0	5	1	0	5
Non-Collision Accident	4	0	3	1	0	5
Backing Hit Pedestrian	3	0	1	2	0	1
Override	2	0	0	2	0	0
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592



Figure 3.22 Distribution of CMV Crashes by Collision Type

3.4.3 Hit and Run Crashes

As shown in Figure 3.23, the frequency and percentage of hit and run collisions for CMVs from 2005 to 2009 showed a descending trend, with the lowest frequency observed in 2009.



Figure 3.23 Number and Percentage of Hit and Run CMV Collisions 2005~2009

3.4.4 Crashes Involving Pedestrian

The District of Columbia is a pedestrian friendly city, and such crashes involving pedestrians are critical to safety engineers and lawmakers. With over 50% of the workers in the District either commute by public transportation or walk to work (*2006 American Community Survey*), it is crucial to understand the causes and severity of pedestrian involved crashes in DC. The summary of CMV collisions involving pedestrians is presented graphically in Figures 3.24 and 3.25. Based on the results presented in Figure 3.25, a general downward trend was observed, with the total pedestrian-involved CMV collisions in 2008 being the lowest as compared to prior years.



Figure 3.24 Pedestrian Involved CMV Collisions in 2009





3.4.5 Crash by CMV Classifications

With the introduction of the new PD-10 form, CMV crashes were further classified into specific groups to separate major CMVs by severity of collision. As shown in Table 3.14 and Figure 3.26, buses with 15 seats or more (1,143), unknown heavy trucks (393), and buses with 9-15 seats (291) were the top three most frequently reported CMV classifications.

Table 3.14 CMV	' Classification	by Injury	Severity
----------------	------------------	-----------	----------

CMV Classification	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Total
Bus (seats >15)	1	154	988	1,143
Unknown Heavy Truck	0	45	348	393
Bus (Seats 9-15)	0	42	249	291
Truck/Trailer	0	38	182	220
Single-Unit Truck (2 axles)	1	30	177	208
Light Truck	0	10	49	59
Tractor/Semi-trailer	0	10	44	54
Single-Unit Truck (>2 axles)	0	10	39	49
Bus (School)	0	11	33	44
Truck/Tractor (Bobtail)	0	4	21	25
Tractor/Double	0	3	1	4
Total	2	357	2,131	2,490



Figure 3.26 CMV Collisions by Vehicle Type

3.5 Environment

3.5.1 CMV Collisions by Roadway Type

Crashes may be influenced by the roadway type which may dictate the extent and severity of CMV crashes. The summary of CMV collisions by type of roadway is presented in Table 3.15 and graphically in Figure 3.27. From the results, it can be determined that majority of the total reported CMV collisions (1,464 or approximately 64%) occurred on straight sections of roadways.

Road Type	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Straight	1,464	1	224	1,239	1	403
Curve	127	0	18	109	0	29
Level	74	0	11	63	0	26
Grade	53	0	7	46	0	10
Crest	8	0	0	8	0	0
Ramp	7	0	2	5	0	2
Bridge	5	0	0	5	0	0
Underpass	3	0	0	3	0	0
Other	24	0	3	21	0	3
Unknown	532	1	72	459	1	119
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.15 CMV Collisions by Roadway Type



Figure 3.27 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Road Type

3.5.2 CMV Collisions by Roadway Conditions

Table 3.16 and Figure 3.28 show the distribution of road conditions related CMV crashes. From the results, it can be observed that majority of the CMV crashes occurred on roadways where the road conditions were observed to be dry. The CMV collisions on dry roadways comprise of about 79% (or 1,814) of the total CMV crashes in 2009. CMV collisions under wet pavement conditions were observed to be the second highest; with 318 (or approximately 14%) of the total reported CMV crashes.

Road Condition	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Dry	1,814	2	268	1,544	2	446
Wet	318	0	49	269	0	103
Snow	35	0	4	31	0	12
Repairing	15	0	0	15	0	0
Slush	10	0	1	9	0	1
lce	8	0	1	7	0	1
Standing Water	3	0	2	1	0	6
Unknown	90	0	11	79	0	22
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.16 CMV Collisions by Roadway Conditions



Figure 3.28 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Road Condition

3.5.3 CMV Collisions by Road Surface

Road surface is another variable, which is reported on the traffic crash report form (PD-10). A summary of the CMV collisions in 2009 by road surface type is presented in Table 3.17 and Figure 3.29. The results show that 2,052 (or approximately 89%) of the total CMV crashes occurred on asphalt roadways. This is followed by crashes on concrete surfaces, which constitutes approximately 8% (or 180) of the total reported CMV collisions. As shown in Figure 3.30, concrete surface comprised of higher number of collisions per lane-mile, while asphalt surface was found to be higher in number of injuries per lane-mile in 2009.

Road Surface	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Asphalt	2,052	2	297	1,753	2	536
Brick	5	0	0	5	0	0
Concrete	180	0	30	150	0	38
Gravel	2	0	1	1	0	1
Other	3	0	1	2	0	1
Unknown	55	0	8	47	0	16
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.17 CMV Collisions by Road Surface



Figure 3.29 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Road Surface



Figure 3.30 Number of CMV Collisions and Injuries per lane-mile by Road Surface

3.5.4 CMV Collisions by Road Functional Classification

It is pertinent to assess the interrelationship of roadway functional classification and commercial motor vehicle crashes. For the purpose of this report, it is of interest to examine the commercial motor vehicle crashes and injuries by roadway functional classification.

Road Condition	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Principal Arterial	736	1	111	624	1	206
Minor Arterial	604	1	109	494	1	195
Local	532	0	52	480	0	97
Collector	332	0	40	292	0	59
Interstate	50	0	18	32	0	25
Other Freeway and Expressway	10	0	2	8	0	3
Unknown	33	0	5	28	0	7
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.18 CMV Collisions by Roadway Functional Classification



Figure 3.31 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Roadway Functional Classification

3.5.5 CMV Collisions by Weather Conditions

Adverse weather conditions are among the causes for crashes including those involved with CMVs. Table 3.19 and Figure 3.32 show the distribution of weather conditions that were attributed to CMV crashes. From the results, it can be observed that majority of the

CMV crashes occurred under clear weather conditions. These CMV collisions comprise of approximately 80% (or 1,827) of the total CMV crashes in 2009. This is followed by CMV crashes which occurred under rainy conditions, which represents approximately 11% (or 245) of the total CMV crashes.

Weather	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Clear	1,827	2	275	1,550	2	461
Rain	245	0	35	210	0	78
Snow	53	0	4	49	0	14
Fog/Mist	35	0	5	30	0	8
Sleet/Hail	5	0	1	4	0	1
Severe Crosswind	4	0	0	4	0	0
Blowing Sand	2	0	1	1	0	5
Other	30	0	4	26	0	4
Unknown	96	0	12	84	0	21
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.19 CMV Collisions by Weather Conditions



Figure 3.32 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Weather

3.5.6 CMV Collisions by Illumination Conditions

Light condition at a crash location is another important factor that may be used to determine the causes and severity of CMV crashes. A summary of crashes by street illumination is presented in Table 3.20 and graphically in Figure 3.33. The results show that the majority of the CMV crashes occurred during daylight conditions. These CMV

collisions consist of approximately 75% (or 1,734) of the total reported CMV crashes in 2009. The second most frequently reported CMV collision in this category was dark but lighted conditions, which represents approximately 16% (or 366) of the total reported CMV crashes in 2009.

Light Condition	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Daylight	1,734	1	254	1,479	1	455
Dark (Lighted)	366	1	62	303	1	98
Dusk	39	0	4	35	0	10
Dark (Not Lighted)	25	0	3	22	0	4
Dawn	21	0	4	17	0	4
Dark (Unknown Lighting)	9	0	2	7	0	6
Other	4	0	0	4	0	0
Unknown	99	0	8	91	0	15
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.20 CMV Collisions by Light Conditions



Figure 3.33 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Light Condition

3.5.7 CMV Collisions by Traffic Conditions

Traffic conditions are recorded in the traffic crash report based on police officer's observation and discretion. This is summarized in Table 3.21 and Figure 3.34. From the results, 883 (or approximately 38%) of the total CMV crashes occurred during medium

traffic conditions. About 25% of the CMV crashes (582) were recorded during heavy traffic conditions in 2009.

Traffic Condition	CMV Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries
Heavy	582	0	73	509	0	141
Medium	883	1	146	736	1	256
Light	491	0	74	417	0	117
Other	19	0	2	17	0	2
Unknown	322	1	42	279	1	76
Total	2,297	2	337	1,958	2	592

Table 3.21 CMV Collisions by Traffic Conditions



Figure 3.34 CMV Collisions and Injuries by Traffic Condition

3.6 Contributing Circumstance

3.6.1 CMV Collisions by Crash Contributing Factors

Table 3.22 shows all reported contributing factors for CMV collisions in DC in 2009. As shown in this table, driver inattention, changing lane(s) without caution, and following too closely were found to be the top three most frequently reported factors related to CMV crashes.

Contributing Circumstances	Collisions	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions
Driver Inattention	348	0	53
Changing Lane(s) without Caution	260	0	40
Following too Close	115	0	37
Improper Passing	115	0	10
Automobile/Pedestrian Right-of-Way	66	0	20
Speed	57	0	17
Improper Backing	53	0	2
Open Door To Traffic	31	0	6
Red Light Violation	26	0	13
Driver Vision Obstructed	25	0	2
Road Defects	22	0	2
Other Distraction	18	0	3
Stop Sign	17	0	7
Pedestrian Violation	11	2	9
Alcohol/Drug Influence	9	0	3
Wrong Way/Side of Street	9	0	3
Flashing/Directional Light	7	0	0
Cell Phone/Other Electronic Device	6	0	2
Defective Brakes, Lights, etc.	4	0	0
Fail to Set Parking Brake	3	0	1
Right Turn on Red	2	0	2
Yield Sign	2	0	1

 Table 3.22 CMV Collisions by Crash Contributing Factors



Figure 3.35 Top Ten Primary Contributing Factors for Injury CMV Collisions

3.6.2 CMV Collisions by Restraint Use (Seatbelts or Airbags)

As shown in many past research studies, restraint device usage has a significant influence on the injury severity of a crash. From the summary results presented in Table 3.23, it was found that 2,151 (or approximately 43%) of drivers or passengers involved in a CMV crash used their seat belts. Overall, only a small fraction (or approximately 6%) of drivers or passengers had seat belt not installed or not fastened. The results are also presented graphically in Figure 3.36.

Seat Belt	Fatal	Disabling	Non- Disabling	Complaint but not visible	No Injury	Other	Unknown	Total
Belt Failed	0	1	0	3	50	0	1	55
Fastened	0	7	16	168	1,859	3	98	2,151
Helmet	0	0	1	2	2	1	2	8
Not Fastened	0	1	2	21	73	0	3	100
Not Installed	0	2	4	30	135	1	25	197
Child Restraint	0	0	0	0	11	0	2	13
Other	0	0	1	1	8	1	3	14
Use Unknown	2	13	29	165	1,569	8	704	2,490
Total	2	24	53	390	3,707	14	838	5,028

Table 3.23 CMV	' Collisions by	Seat Belt Use
----------------	-----------------	---------------



Figure 3.36 Percentage of CMV Collisions by Seat Belt Usage

Motor vehicle airbag is another important safety restraint device that can be used to examine the cause of CMV crash severity. On the basis of the results shown in Table 3.27 and Figure 3.34, it can be observed that 1,981 (or approximately 39%) of drivers or front passengers involved in CMV crashes were reported as having the airbags installed. Overall, only 85 (or approximately 2%) of CMV crashes were reported as airbag failed.

Driver/Passenger Front Air Bag	Fatal	Disabling	Non- Disabling	Complaint but not visible	No Injury	Other	Unknown	Total
Airbag Deployed	0	4	10	26	30	1	8	79
Airbag Failed	0	0	0	5	73	0	7	85
Airbag Installed	0	6	14	159	1,699	2	101	1,981
Side-Impact Airbags	0	0	0	1	8	0	1	10
Other	0	1	2	8	61	0	5	77
Use Unknown	2	13	27	191	1,836	11	716	2,796
Total	2	24	53	390	3,707	14	838	5,028

 Table 3.24 CMV Collisions by Motor Vehicle Airbag Use



Figure 3.37 Percentage of CMV Collisions by Airbag Usage

3.6.3 Consequence of Speeding

Speeding has been noted to be highly correlated to the severity of a crash. In this report, the total CMV collisions were distributed into specific groups to identify the correlation between speed and collision type. From Figure 3.38, it can be observed that the percentage

of speed-related injury collisions were considerably higher when compared with the overall CMV collisions in 2009. In addition, Figure 3.36 reveals that the injury severity of the CMV crashes were noticeably higher when compared with the overall CMV collisions: 2.4% of CMV collisions were speed related and resulted to 10% of disabling injuries and 11% of non-disabling injuries.



Figure 3.38 Percentage of Total and Speed Involved CMV Collisions



Figure 3.39 Percentage of Total and Speed Involved CMV Collisions by Injury Severity

3.6.4 CMV Collisions by Sobriety

Alcohol and drug use have been identified as one of the contributory factors leading to collisions in which result in injuries. The summary of CMV collisions by sobriety is presented in Figure 3.40. From the results, it can be observed that "had been drinking and obviously drunk" resulted in highest percentage of injuries as compared to other sobriety listings.



Figure 3.40 Percentage of DUI Involved CMV Collisions

3.6.5 Distractions

Driver distraction is one of the causes of CMV crashes. This section provides a summary of CMV crashes attributed to driver distraction. The summary of driver distraction-related CMV crashes is presented in Table 3.25 and Figure 3.41. The majority of the driver distraction-related CMV crashes were listed as unknown or other.

Distraction	Fatal Collisions	Injury Collisions	PDO Collisions
Cell Phone (Hand Held)	0	2	18
Cell Phone (Hands-Free)	0	2	4
Distracted by Passenger(s)	0	5	13
Interacting with Pets	0	0	1
Interacting with Unsecured Cargo	0	0	3
Personal Grooming	0	0	1
Using Personal Communication Technologies	0	1	1
Other	0	39	76
Unknown	1	202	1,185

Table 3.25 CMV Collisions by Driver Distractions



Figure 3.41 Percentage of Distraction Involved CMV Collisions by Injury Severity

CHAPTER 4 IDENTIFICATION OF HIGH FREQUENCY CMV CRASH LOCATION

4.1 Top 20 Intersections by Number of CMV Crashes in 2009

As the first step of determining the high frequency crash locations for CMVs, the crash occurrences for various intersections in 2009 were compiled and arranged to identify the high frequency crash location rankings. On the basis of the results, it can be determined that the intersection of Wisconsin Avenue and M Street was ranked the highest in 2009. Furthermore, the intersection of 31st Street and M Street was found to be the second highest among all intersections presented. Overall, the intersection of Wisconsin Avenue and M Street was found to be the most hazardous intersection in the District in 2009 on the basis of number of CMV crash occurrences. The calculations on rakings were based on the computation methods and equations in Traffic Safety Report Statistics (2007-2009).

Intersection Name	Quad	CMV Collisions	Total Trucks Involved	Total Buses Involved	Rank by CMV Collisions
WISCONSIN AVE AND M ST	NW	16	5	14	1
31ST ST AND M ST	NW	12	5	9	2
NEW YORK AVE AND NORTH CAPITOL ST	BN	11	5	6	3
BENNING RD AND EAST CAPITOL ST	BN	11	4	7	3
14TH ST AND IRVING ST	NW	11	3	13	3
7TH ST AND H ST	NW	10	2	9	6
NEW YORK AVE AND BLADENSBURG RD	NE	10	5	7	6
14TH ST AND K ST	NW	10	3	9	6
MINNESOTA AVE AND PENNSYLVANIA AVE	SE	9	4	6	9
WISCONSIN AVE AND Q ST	NW	9	3	6	9
14TH ST AND D ST	NW	9	1	8	9
23RD ST AND WASHINGTON CIR	NW	9	1	8	9
24TH ST AND CONNECTICUT AVE	NW	9	0	11	9
MINNESOTA AVE AND BENNING RD	NE	8	1	8	14
18TH ST AND ADAMS MILL RD	NW	8	2	6	14
PENNSYLVANIA AVE AND POTOMAC AVE	SE	8	3	7	14
17TH ST AND I ST	NW	8	2	6	14
DUPONT CIR AND P ST	NW	8	4	6	14
CONNECTICUT AVE AND N ST	NW	8	0	9	14
16TH ST AND I ST	NW	8	0	8	14

Table 4.1 Top 20 Intersections by Number of CMV Crash in 2009



Figure 4.1 Top 50 High Hazard Intersections in 2009

4.2 Top 20 intersections by Number of CMV Crashes from 2007 through 2009

When the three-year crash frequency was compiled, the intersection of Wisconsin Avenue and M Street was again ranked the highest among all intersections presented, whereas the intersection of Minnesota Avenue and Pennsylvania Avenue was found to the second highest as compared to other intersections in the District.

Intersection Name	Quad	CMV Collisions	Total Trucks Involved	Total Buses Involved	Rank by CMV Collisions
WISCONSIN AVE AND M ST	NW	58	17	53	1
MINNESOTA AVE AND PENNSYLVANIA AVE	SE	38	20	21	2
7TH ST AND H ST	NW	37	9	31	3
NEW YORK AVE AND NORTH CAPITOL ST	BN	36	23	17	4
NEW YORK AVE AND BLADENSBURG RD	NE	36	25	14	4
31ST ST AND M ST	NW	33	16	21	6
14TH ST AND U ST	NW	30	13	20	7
WISCONSIN AVE AND Q ST	NW	28	10	19	8
MINNESOTA AVE AND BENNING RD	NE	27	8	23	9
BENNING RD AND EAST CAPITOL ST	BN	26	13	15	10
14TH ST AND K ST	NW	25	9	18	11
MONTANA AVE AND NEW YORK AVE	NE	25	20	10	11
M ST AND S CAPITOL ST	BN	25	16	13	11
H ST AND NORTH CAPITOL ST	BN	25	16	19	11
MASSACHUSETTS AVE AND DUPONT CIR	NW	23	6	21	15
15TH ST AND I ST	NW	22	7	18	16
MARTIN LUTHER KING AVE AND HOWARD RD	SE	22	2	22	16
14TH ST AND D ST	NW	21	6	15	18
23RD ST AND WASHINGTON CIR	NW	21	3	20	18
18TH ST AND ADAMS MILL RD	NW	21	10	12	18

Table 4.2 Top 20 Intersections by Number of CMV Crashes (2007 ~ 2009)



Figure 4.2 Top 50 High Hazard Intersections (2007 ~ 2009)

4.3 High Frequency Crash Intersection by Collision Type

In order to determine the crash pattern for each of the identified top 20 high frequency crash locations, the collision locations were further divided into specific groups. For the purpose of this report, these high frequency crash locations were categorized by collision type. As shown in Table 4.3, side swiped collision was the leading crash type for most of the high frequency crash locations, whereas rear end and right turn were the second and third most frequently reported commercial motor vehicle crashes for the computed top 20 high frequency crash locations.

Type of Collision	Backing	Fixed Object	Head On	Left Turn	Non-Collision	Other	Parked Vehicle	Ran Off Roadway	Rear End	Right Angle	Right Turn	Side Swiped	Straight	Override	Underride	Unknown	Total Crash
WISCONSIN AVE AND M ST,NW	1	0	0	10	0	3	3	0	4	0	18	18	0	0	0	1	58
MINNESOTA AVE AND PENNSYLVANIA AVE,SE	0	1	0	5	0	2	0	0	8	3	1	18	0	0	0	0	38
7TH ST AND H ST,NW	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4	4	1	22	1	0	0	0	37
NEW YORK AVE AND NORTH CAPITOL ST,BN	0	2	1	2	0	1	1	1	4	3	4	17	0	0	0	0	36
NEW YORK AVE AND BLADENSBURG RD,NE	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	12	0	6	15	0	0	0	1	36
31ST ST AND M ST,NW	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	1	0	6	18	0	0	0	1	33
14TH ST AND U ST,NW	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	2	1	1	14	0	0	0	0	30
WISCONSIN AVE AND Q ST,NW	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	21	0	0	0	0	28
MINNESOTA AVE AND BENNING RD,NE	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	16	1	0	0	1	27
BENNING RD AND EAST CAPITOL ST,BN	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	26
14TH ST AND K ST,NW	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	3	2	3	13	0	0	0	0	25
MONTANA AVE AND NEW YORK AVE,NE	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	5	0	1	13	0	0	0	1	25
M ST AND S CAPITOL ST,BN	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	12	0	0	0	0	25
H ST AND NORTH CAPITOL ST,BN	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	13	0	0	0	0	25
MASSACHUSETTS AVE AND DUPONT CIR,NW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	17	0	0	0	0	23
15TH ST AND I ST,NW	1	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	22
MARTIN LUTHER KING AVE AND HOWARD RD,SE	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	7	2	0	0	0	22
14TH ST AND D ST,NW	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	16	1	0	0	0	21
23RD ST AND WASHINGTON CIR,NW	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	13	0	0	0	1	21
18TH ST AND ADAMS MILL RD,NW	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	13	0	0	0	1	21

Table 4.3 Top 20 Hazardous Intersections by Collision Type (2007~2009)

4.4 High Frequency CMV Crash Corridors

On the basis of the results presented in Table 4.4 and Figure 4.3, it can be observed that Pennsylvania Avenue, New York Avenue, and Connecticut Avenue were three frequently reported corridors for commercial motor vehicle crashes in the District from 2007 to 2009.

		2007			2008		2009			
Corridor	No. of Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries	No. of Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries	No. of Collisions	Fatalities	Injuries	
PENNSYLVANIA AVE	152	2	51	136	0	35	136	0	22	
NEW YORK AVE	119	1	42	98	0	27	93	1	62	
CONNECTICUT AVE	68	0	11	81	0	8	86	0	18	
WISCONSIN AVE	101	0	22	91	0	10	78	0	9	
GEORGIA AVE	96	0	28	82	0	27	74	0	24	
NORTH CAPITOL ST	83	0	28	78	0	22	67	0	33	
SIXTEENTH ST, N.W.	71	0	15	51	0	6	67	0	9	
BENNING RD	66	0	31	53	0	19	59	0	15	
FLORIDA AVE	65	0	24	51	0	17	59	0	21	
RHODE ISLAND AVE	66	0	25	46	0	8	36	0	12	
BLADENSBURG RD	46	0	10	32	0	6	28	0	2	
CONSTITUTION AVE	50	0	17	32	0	2	28	0	5	
SOUTHERN AVE	32	0	10	27	0	15	24	0	20	
NEW JERSEY AVE	24	0	11	27	0	9	18	1	9	

Table 4.4 Top 10 High Frequency CMV Crash Locations (2007 ~ 2009)



Figure 4.3 Summary of High Frequency Crash Corridors for CMV

Corridor	Length(miles)	No. of Intersection	No. of CMV Crash (2006-2008)	Average CMV Crashes per Mile	Average CMV Crashes per Intersection
PENNSYLVANIA AVE	5.48	89	424	77.37	4.76
NEW YORK AVE	5.08	46	310	61.02	6.74
CONNECTICUT AVE	5.01	73	235	46.91	3.22
WISCONSIN AVE	4.87	65	270	55.44	4.15
GEORGIA AVE	4.76	65	252	52.94	3.88
NORTH CAPITOL ST	3.85	73	228	59.22	3.12
SIXTEENTH ST, NW	6.39	89	189	29.58	2.12
BENNING RD	3.39	45	178	52.51	3.96
FLORIDA AVE	5.46	80	175	32.05	2.19
RHODE ISLAND AVE	4.56	49	148	32.46	3.02
BLADENSBURG RD	2.65	45	106	40.00	2.36
CONSTITUTION AVE	3.9	52	110	28.21	2.12
SOUTHERN AVE	5.4	122	83	15.37	0.68
NEW JERSEY AVE	2.79	38	69	24.73	1.82



Figure 4.4 Map of Corridors with High Frequency Crash

CHAPTER 5 APPENDICES

5.1 New PD10 Form - Traffic Crash Report

							TRAFF	IC C	CRASH F	REP	DRT	ter Me	tropolit	an Police	e Departmer	it, was	hington, D		
189 (Type of Crash)	Reco All di	rd N/A in any field	d that does not matted as mm	apply t /dd/ww	to this o	event. F	For yes/no	o que.	stions, circl	e one									
	Explo	ain any "other" re	sponses in nari	ative.	2														
190 (Road Surface	1 D	ate of Crash	2 Time of Crash (Us military)	e	3 Day Week	/ of k	4 Date	e of R∉	eport	1	5 Complaint Numl	oer (CCN)	6 UC	C Numbe	er				
191 (Road Type)	7 T 0	ype of Crash (Cheo 01 Fatality 🗆 02 Inj 05 Pedestrian 🖵 06 99 Other er the number of fr rest mile post or P	k all that apply ury 0 03 Prope 5 D.C. Prop. 0 03 eet, in whateve EPCO pole no.,	ty Dam Non-C r direct etc. Inc	age On ollision tion, fri dicate i	ily 🗆 04 1 🖵 08 Ci om the if accide	Hit & Run omm. Veh nearest ii ent occuri	nterse red or	8 Lo ection or bla n exit ramp,	catio ck (0 bridg	feet if at an exacted for the second se	unnel name & qu t location). On fr r. Finally, circle tl	adrant) eeways he city q	9 t , enter t juadran	District he number o	10 PS	A rom the		
192 (Road Condi tion)	11	Location Type and	Name	Feet	N	S E	W from	n Int	ersection/B	ock:					Freeway Mi	le Post:			
	PE	PCO Pole No:		Exit	Ramp	:			Brie	lge: _		ı	Funnel:_						
193 (Street Light ing)	0ti 12	her: Construction Zone	? □ 02 W □ 04 Pr	treet Lo ithin 10 ivate Pr	ocation O' of In operty	01 itersectio 97	At Interse on 🗆 03 N 7 N/A 🗔 9	ection lot at l 9 Othe	Intersection		14 Off-Street Lo 02 Private Pro 99 Other:	cation 🗆 01 Publi operty 🗔 97 N/A	Circle Qu c Space	uadrant:	NW SW	NE S taken o Y N	E n scene?		
194 (Light Condi- tion)	16 Photos taken? 16 Photos taken? 16 N 16 If yes, # photos 17 # Vehicles Involved 18 # Injured Pe									sons	19a-d # Occupat Vehicle # 1	nts (Incl. driver)		_ 4	20 #	Fatalit	ies		
195 (Weather)		21 OBJECT TYPE	: (<i>Describe fixed</i> D2 Pedestrian d Object	and dar yclist E 99 Othe	mage in] 04 Parl er:	narrative) 1 05 Ai	nimal		50 OBJECT TYPE	(Describe fixed of)2 Pedestrian 🔲 0 d Object 🛄 97 N/:	bject an 13 Bicycl A 🔲 99	d damag ist □04 Other: _	e in narrative Parked Car D)] 05 An	imal			
196 (Traffic Condition)		22 Last Name	First		Mido	dle 2	23 Sex 2	4 DOB	}		51 Last Name	First	I	Middle	52 Sex 5	3 DOB			
197 (Roadway Type)	(C)	25 Street Addre	55			26 City	y, State, Zi	ip			54 Street Address 55 City, State						, Zip		
198	RANCE, ET	27 Home/Cell N	lumber		28 W	Vork Nu	mber			NCE, ETC.)	56 Home/Cell Number 57 Work Number					1/			
(Traffic Controls)	NFO, INSU	29 License Num	ber		30 51	tate 3	31 Class	32 lı	ns Exp Date), INSURAI	58 License Number 5				60 Class	61 In	s Exp Date		
199 (Pedestrian	ITACT II	33 Driver's Insu	rance Co. Name		34 P	olicy #				CT INFO	62 Insurance Co	. Name		63 Policy	/#				
Action)	TYPE, CON	35 Make	36 Model	37 1	/ear	38 Boo	dy	39 C	olor	E, CONTA	64 Make	65 Model	66 Yea	ar 67	Body	68 Co	lor		
200a-h (Sequence)	OBJECT (40 Vehicle ID N	umber (VIN)							LE #2 (TYP	69 Vehicle ID Nu	imber (VIN)							
	STRIKING	41 Tag Number				4	42 State		43 Year	VEHIC	70 Tag Number				71 State		72 Year		
		44 Owner's Last Name First Middle 45 Owne Same as Operator Info (skip to next section)									73 Owner's Last Name First Same as Operator Info (skip to next section)			Middle		74 Owne Notified?			
		46 Owner's Stre	eet Address			47 City	y, State, Zi	ip			75 Owner's Stre	et Address		76	'6 City, State, Zip				
		48 Owner's Tele	phone #		49 V from	eh. Insu n #33)	irance Co.	(if dif	ferent		77 Owner's Tele	phone #		78 Veh. from #6	Insurance Co. 2)	. (if diff	erent		

PD 10 F	ev. D	ecember 200	8					TRA	FFIC	CRASH	REP	ORT		ē	A N	letropol	itan Pol	lice D)epartme	nt, W	ashington, D
200h-p (Sequence)		79 OBJECT 1	TYPE ((Describe fix	ed object o	ind da	mage in	n arrati	ive)			108 OB	ЈЕСТ ТҮ	PE (Des	cribe fixe	d object	and dan	nage	in narrat	ive)	
		01 Driver 06 Other	02 Fixed	2 Pedestrian I Object 🗔 9	03 Bicy 7 N/A 🗆 9	clist D 99 Oth] 04 Pai er:	rked Car	05/	Animal		01 D	river 🗅 ther Fix	02 Ped ed Obje	estrian 🗆 ct 🖾 97 f	03 Bicy N/A □ 9	clist 🖵 (9 Other	04 Pa :	rked Car	05/	Animal
Ħ		80 Last Nan	1e	First		Mido	lle	81 Sex	82 DO)B		109 La:	t Name	1	First		Middle	2	110 Sex	111 D	ОВ
H		83 Street Ad	dres	s			84 Cit	y, State,	, Zip			112 Str	eet Add	ress			:	113 0	City, State	, Zip	
Ħ	, ETC.)	85 Home/Co	ell Nu	umber		86 V	Vork Nu	ımber			, ETC.)	114 Ho	me/Cel	l Numb	er		115 W	ork N	Number		
	ISURANCE	87 License M	lumb	ber		88 5	tate	89 Class	s 90	Ins Exp Date	ISURANCE	116 Lic	ense Nu	mber			117 St	ate	118 Clas	5 119 Da	9 Ins Exp te
201a-c (Seat Loca- tion Code)	T INFO, IN	91 Driver's	Insura	ance Co. Nai	me	92 P	olicy #				T INFO, IN	120 Ins	urance	Co. Nar	ne		121 Po	olicy	#	<u>_ </u>	
H	, CONTAC	93 Make		94 Model	95 Y	'ear	96 Bo	dy	97	Color	, CONTAC	122 Ma	ike	123	Model	124	Year	125 B	Body	120	5 Color
	E #3 (TYPE	98 Vehicle I	D Nur	mber (VIN)							E #4 (TYPE	127 Ve	hicle ID	Numbe	er (VIN)						
2028-C (Seat Belt Code)	VEHICLE	99 Tag Num	ber					100 Stat	te	101 Year	VEHICLE	128 Taj	; Numb	er					129 Stat	2	130 Year
		102 Owner' Same a	s Last s Ope	t Name erator Info (s	First skip to nex	t sectio	n)	Middle		103 Owner Notified?		131 Ov 5a	ner's La me as O	ast Nan perato	ne r Info (ski	First p to next	section)	Middle		132 Owner Notified?
203a-c (Air Bag Code)		104 Owner	s Stre	et Address			105 C	ity, State	e, Zip			133 Ov	/ner's S	treet A	ddress		:	134 0	City, State	, Zip	
H		106 Owner	s Tele	≥phone #		107 from	Veh. In: 1 #33)	surance	Co. (if	different		135 Ov	/ner's Te	elephor	1e #		136 Ve from #	≥h. In #62)	isurance (:o. (if	different
	INVO	LVED PERSON	IS: In t	the next sec	tion, includ	ie ali o	perator	rs, passe	engers a	and pedestric	ıns inv	olved eve	n if not	injured.				_			
204a-c		137a-c Assoc. w/vehicle #	13	8a-c Last Na	ame, First I	Vame		139a	a-c Stre	et Address,	City, S	ate, Zip	140a- Work	c Home #	/Cell/	141a- c Sex	142a- e Age	b	43a-c Em y DC Gov	ol. 1 t? T F	44a-c aken to losp?
Code)	1		Γ					Τ											Y N	Π	Y N
H	2																		Y N		YN
Ш	3		\top					\top											Y N		Y N
	ULNI	RED PERSONS	;															_			
205a-c (Injury Code)		145a-c Last Ni Name	ame, I	First	146a-c Wi (Hospital)	nere Ta	aken :	147a-c B First Nar	By Who me)	m (Last Nam	e, i	48a-c Mi or Crash lotified?	a- 14 Tei No	9a-c letype tified?	150a- (If Yes Relati	c Relativ , Last & onship)	e Notifie First Na	ed? Ime 8	1 & (/	51a-c \dmit eased,	Status ted, Re- Unknown)
	1											YN	- [·	r N							
H	2										╈	Y N		(N							
	3										1	Y N		(N	\top						
		152a-	-c Last	t Name	First			Midd	lle 19	53a-c Street	Addre	ss, City, S	tate, Zij)		1	54a-c Te	leph	one #		
	-noN	Witnesse:														+					
		3							╈							\uparrow					

2 of 4

PD 1	0 Rev. Dec	cember 2008		S & DEDECTDIAN		RAFFIC CR	ASH REPO	RT	٩	Metropolitar	Police Depar	rtment, Wa	ishington, l
	155a	a-c Arrest/NOI#		S & PEDESTRIAN	156a-c P charges)	rimary and Sec	condary Charges (Report must suj	oport	157a-c W	hat Traffic Sigr	ns Were Pre	sent?
	2												
NITION	158 STRII #1: Direc Before Cr 10 N/ 0 01 N/ 0 04 W 99 0t 159 Vehin Travel an (must ma diagram) 0 01 N/ 0 04 W 99 0t 164 Vehin	KING OBJECT/V tion of Travel a rash (must mat diagram) /B	EHICLE nd Street ch narra- 0 03 S/B V N Crash nd 0 03 S/B	160 Skid Marks To Impact: After Impact: N/A 165 Skid Marks To Impact: After Impact: N/A		161 Circle All	Areas With Dama	ge: 6 13 Hood 14 Roof 15 Trunk 16 Undercarriage 17 Overturned 18 Other (Explain in Narrative) 7 8 13 Hood 14 Roof 15 Trunk 16 Norf 15 Trunk 16 Undercarriage 17 Overturned 18 Other (Explain in Narrative) 7	162 V 0 01 0 02 Towed 167 V 0 01 0 02 Towed 167 V 0 01 0 02 Towed 167 V 0 01 0 02 Towed 0 01 0 02 0 02 0 03 0 02 0 03 0 02 0 03 0 0 0 0	hicle Was Left on Scene Towed By: to: Control #: Driven Away B N/A 99 O hicle Was Left on Scene Towed By: to: Control #: Driven Away B	Y: ther		
VEHICLE CON	168 VEHI Travel an (must ma diagram) 0 01 N/ 0 04 W 99 00 169 Vehi	ICLE #3: Direction ICLE #3: Direction ICLE #3: Direction ICLE #3: Direction ICLE Disabled?	Y N crash ind 03 S/B	170 Skid Marks To Impact: After Impact: N/A		171 Circle All	Areas With Dama	ge: 13 Hood 14 Roof 15 Trunk 10 Undercarriage 17 Overfurned 18 Other (Explain in Narrative) 7	172 V 172 V 01 02 Towed Towin 03 97	hicle Was Left on Scene Towed By: to: ; Control #: Driven Away E N/A	ly:		
	173 VEHI Travel an (must ma diagram) 0 01 N/ 0 04 W 99 Ot 174 Vehic	ICLE #4: Direction di Street Before atch narrative a /B	on of : Crash ind 0 3 S/B	175 Skid Marks To Impact: After Impact: N/A		176 Circle All J	Areas With Dama	ge: 13 Hood 14 Roof 15 Trunk 16 Undercarriage 17 Overtumed 18 Other (Explain in Narrative) 7	177 V 101 02 Towed Towin 03 97	hicle Was Left on Scene Towed By: to: ; Control #: Driven Away B N/A	'y:		
		206a-c Driver/ Pedestrian Condition	207a-c Impairmei	208a-c nt Type of Test Conducted	209a-c Blood/ Alcohol Content		210a-d Cell Phone/Other Electronic Device Present (Y/N)?	211a-d Driver/ Pedestrian Distraction	212a-d Primary Contribut Circumstar	213a-d Driver ng Action ces	214a-d Vehicle Type: Private	215a-d Vehicle Type: Govt	216a-d Vehicle Type: Comm
Inv Pe Inv Pe	volved rson #1 volved rson #1					Vehicle #1 Vehicle #2 Vehicle #3							
Inv Pe	rolved rson #3					Vehicle #4							

3 of 4

D 10 Rev. December 2008 178 Crash Diagram (Not to Sca fact, the diagram shall be comp ramps and bridges. Indicate typ	ile) (The diagram r oleted to show the oe of fixed object(TRAFFIC CRASH REPO nust correspond to the nar general area in which the s), direction, posted speed a	RT At Metr rative. If the report is bein crash occurred. Please ind and vehicles by number in	opolitan Police Departm g taken by an office icate freeway acces dicated in spaces at	ent, Washington, D r after the s ramps, exit bove.)
179 Detailed Narrative (Give a items that are not satisfactorily 119 (Complainant/Witness Stat sible, list the item number of the stat sible stat stat sible stat sible stat sible stat stat sible stat stat stat stat stat stat stat sta	concise statemen rexplained ("other tement). If accider te corresponding s	t, in your own words, of the " answers). If statements a t occurred in a constructio section.	facts that are not covered re taken, use PD 118 (Defe n zone, describe type of co	d in this report, or to endant/Suspect Stat Instruction zone. W	N to clarify any tement) or PD herever pos-
This report is used for statistical ar the reporting officer, based on his,	alysis of vehicular c /her judgement afte	rashes and the prevention the r considering all the facts discl	Narrative Continue reof. The data given represen osed through his/her investig	ed on PD 10B Supplement ts the opinion and co ation of this crash.	al
180 Reporting Member's Name/CAD/Ba	dge # 181 Unit	182 Signature	183 Official's	Name/CAD/Badge #	184 Official's Unit
185 Official's Signature	186 Reviewer	187 Distribution	188 Date	Complaint Number (CCN)

Use PD 10B Supplemental for Motor Carrier Vehicle Information and additional space.

4 of 4

5.2 New PD10B – Traffic Crash Report Supplemental

PD 10B Rev. June 2007

TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT SUPPLEMENTAL A Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, DC



Record N/A in any field that does not apply to this event. Field numbers mirror those from the PD 10. Explain any "other" responses in narrative. Record one code for each vehicle.

Private, Government & Commercial Vehicle Information	Vehicle #1	Vehicle #2	Vehicle #3	Vehicle #4
217a-d Bus Type 00 Unknown 01 School 02 Transtt 03 Interofly 04 Charter 97 N/A, Not a Bus 99 Other				
218a-d Cargo Body Type D0 Unknown D1 Bus (Seats 9-15, Including driver) D2 Bus (Seats Forman 15, Including driver) D3 Van/Enclosed Box D4 Cargo Tank D5 Flatbed D6 Dump D7 Concrete Mixer D9 Garbag/PRefuse D9 Garbag/PRefuse 10 Grain, Chips, Gravel 11 Pole 12 Log 13 Intermodal Chassis 14 Vehicle-Towing Another Motor Vehicle 97 N/A 99 Other				
219a-d US DOT #				
220a-d MC #/MX #	SLIDDI	EMENTAI -	n 2	
221a-d State #	00		P	
222a-d issuing State				
223a-d Gross Vehicle/Combination Weight Rating 00 Unknown 01 10,000 Uls or less 02 10,001-25,000 Uls 03 Greater than 26,000 Uls 97 N/A				
224a-d Interetate Vehicle Type 00 Unknown 01 Interstate Carrier 02 Intrastate Carrier 03 Not In Commerce: Government (Trucks and Buses) 04 Not In Commerce: Other Truck (over 10,000 lbs) 97 N/A 99 Other				
225a-d Carrier Name				
226a-d Carrier Address (Street # and Name, Quadrant, Apt. #, City, State & Zip)				
227a-d Haz Mat Placard? (yes/no)				
228a-d If Yes, 4-digit Placard Number				
223a-d Haz Mat Class Number 01 Explosives 22 Gases (Compressed, Dissolved or Refrigerated) 03 Fianmable Liquid 04 Fianmable Solids (Combustible, Water Reactive) 05 Oxidizing Substances (Organic Peroxides) 06 Polisonous (Toxic) and Intectious Substances 07 Radioactive Watertail 08 Corrosives 09 Miscellaneous Dangerous Goods 97 N/A 99 Other				
(yes/no)				

PD 10B Rev. June 2007		TRAFF S	FIC CRA	SH REPORT IENTAL	A Metropolitan	Police Departme	ent, Washington, D
1 Date of Crash	2 Time of (Crash 3 D	ay of Week	4 Date of Report	5 Complaint I	Number (CCN)	
179 Detailed Narrative answers). If statements are taken, use i Wherever possible, list the item number	Cont.) (Give a con PD 118 (Defendant/Susp r of the corresponding se	cise statement, in you ect Statement) or PD ction.	ır own words, of t 119 (Complainan	• ne facts that are not covered in this re Witness Statement). If accident occ	eport, or to clarify any items irred in a construction zone	that are not satisfacto , describe type of con	orily explained ("other" istruction zone.
		SIIDE		FNTAL • n	1		
			See See 1 V 1				
This report is used for statistical analysing all the facts disclosed through his/h	is of vehicular crashes ar er investigation of this cra	nd the prevention there ash.	eof. The data give	n represents the opinion and conclus	sions of the reporting officer,	, based on his/her jud	gement after consider-
180 Reporting Member's Name/CAD	#	181 Unit	182 Signature	,	183 Official's Name/CA	D #	184 Official's Unit#
185 Official's Signature	186	S Reviewer	187 Distributio	n	188 Date	Complaint Numbe	

METROPOLITAN POLICE	DEPARTMENT OF THE DISTRICT	OF COLUMBIA PD 10 C	Coding Sheet (December 2008)
189 Type of Crash			
00 Unknown	05 Side Swiped	10 Left Turn Hit Pedestrian	15 Backing Hit Pedestrian
01 Right Angle	06 Head On	11 Right Turn Hit Pedestrian	16 Non-Collision Accident
02 Left Turn Hit Vehicle	07 Parked Vehicle	12 Straight Hit Pedestrian	17 Underride
03 Right Turn Hit Vehicle	08 Fixed Object	13 Backing Hit Moving Vehicle	18 Override
04 Rear End	09 Ran Off Roadway	14 Backing Hit Parked Vehicle	99 Other
190 Road Surface			
00 Unknown	02 Asphalt	04 Gravel	99 Other
01 Concrete	03 Brick	05 Dirt	
191 Road Type (Select all t	that apply)		
01 Straight	04 Grade	07 Ramp	
02 Curve	05 Crest	08 Bridge	
03 Level	06 Underpass	99 Other	
192 Road Condition			
00 Unknown	03 Wet	06 Snow	99 Other
01 Repairing	04 Standing Water	07 Ice	
02 Dry	05 Slush	08 Sand, Mud, Dirt, Oil or Gravel	
193 Street Lighting			
00 Unknown	02 Street Lights On	98 None	
01 Defective	03 Street Lights Off	99 Other	
194 Light Condition			
00 Unknown	03 Dark (Lighted)	05 Dawn	
01 Daylight	04 Dark (Unknown Roadway	06 Dusk	
02 Dark (Not Lighted)	Lighting)	99 Other	
195 Weather			
00 Unknown	03 Snow	05 Blowing Sand, Soil, Dirt or	98 Clear/No adverse conditions
01 Fog/Mist	04 Sleet/Hail	Snow	99 Other
02 Rain		06 Severe Crosswind	

5.3 Coding Sheet

01 Heavy			
от пеачу			
	US LIGHT		
197 Roadway Type			
00 Unknown	02 Two-Way, Divided	03 Two way, Divided Positive	99 Other
01 Two-Way, Not Divided	Unprotected Median	Median Barrier	
		04 One-Way, Not Divided	
198 Traffic Controls			
00 Unknown	03 Yield	06 Officer	
01 None	04 Stop Sign	07 Restricted Turn	
02 Flashing	05 Signal	99 Other	
199 Pedestrian Action			
00 Unknown	03 In Crosswalk: No Signal	06 In Unmarked Crosswalk	
01 With Signal in Crosswalk	04 From Between Parked Cars	97 N/A	
02 Against Signal in Crosswalk	05 Not in Crosswalk	99 Other	
00 Unknown	07 Non-Collision: Separation of	14 Collision Involving Parked	21 Collision Involving Unkno
01 Non-Collision: Ran Off Road	Units	Motor Vehicle	Movable Object
02 Non-Collision: Jackknife	08 Non-Collision: Cross	15 Collision Involving Train	22 Collision: Hit & Run
03 Non-Collision: Overturn	Median/Centerline	16 Collision Involving Pedacycle	23 Collision Involving Moving
(Rollover)	09 Non-Collision: Equipment	17 Collision Involving Animal	Motor Vehicle
04 Non-Collision: Downhill	Failure (tire, etc.)	18 Collision Involving Fixed Object	97 Not applicable, no more
Runaway	10 Non-Collision: Other	19 Collision Involving Work Zone	vehicles or event sequen
05 Non-Collision: Cargo Loss or	11 Non-Collision: Unknown	Maintenance Equip.	for this vehicle
Shift	12 Collision Involving Pedestrian	20 Collision Involving Other	99 Other
06 Non-Collision: Explosion or Fire	13 Collision Involving Motor	Movable Object	
	Vehicle in Transport		
201a-c Seat Location Code (Re	ecord 1 per person and describe	in narrative)	
01 Driver	06 Rear Right Seat	11 Bicycle Rider	
02 Front Center Seat	07 SUV/Caravan	97 N/A	0r 02 03
03 Front Passenger Seat	08 Motorcycle/Moped Passenger	99 Other: Skateboard, Tricycle,	B0 50 40
04 Rear Left Seat (behind driver)	09 Bus occupant	etc.	
05 Rear Center Seat	10 Pedestrian		
		6	

1. Not Installed	04 Fastened	07 Helmet	
2 Not Fastened	05 Child Restraint	97 N/A	
03a-c Air Bag Code (Recoi	d 1 per person and describe in I	narrative)	
) Unknown	02 Air Bag Deploved	04 Side-Impact Airbags	99 Other
1 Air Bag Installed	03 Air Bag Failed	97 N/A	
04a-c Ejection Code (Rec	ord 1 per person and describe ir	n narrative)	
) Unknown	02 Total	97 N/A	
1 Partial	03 None	99 Other	
05a-c Injury Code (Record	1 per person and describe in n	arrative)	
0 Unknown	03 Disabling Injury	05 Complaint of Pain, But No	99 Other
1 No Injury	04 Non-Disabling Injury	Visible Injury	
2 Fatal		97 N/A	
) Unknown	02 11	04 Asleen	99 Other
Fationed	03 Physical Defect	05 Normal	
07a-c Impairment (Record	1 per person and describe in n	arrative)	
0 Impairment Unknown	Had been drinking and	03Ability impaired	99 Other
1 Had not been drinking	02Obviously drunk	04Ability not impaired	
08a-c Type of Test Condu	cted (Record 1 per person and d	escribe in narrative)	
0 No test Conducted	02 Blood	97 N/A	
1 Urine	03 Breath	99 Other	
11a-d Driver/Pedestrian [Distraction (Record 1 per vehicle	and describe in narrative)	
0 Unknown	04 Writing	08 Using personal communication	97 N/A
1 Cell phone (hand held)	05 Personal Grooming	technologies	99 Other
2 Cell phone (hands-free)	06 Interacting w/Pets	09 Eating	
	07 Interacting w/weesenged	10 Dictronted by paceanger(c)	

01 No Violation		TH DOLOGING DIGNO? CIGINO CON-	
01 No Violation			
	08 Stop Sign	15 Fail to Set Parking Brake	Device
02 Speed	09 Yield Sign	16 Open Door to Traffic	22 Other Distraction
03 Driver Inattention	10 Red Light Violation	17 Improper Backing	22 Road Defects
04 Following Too Close	11 Flashing/Directional Light	18 Drug/Alcohol Influence	99 Other
05 Improper Passing	12 Automobile/Pedestrian Right	19 Pedestrian Violation	
06 Changing Lanes Without	of Way	20 Driver Vision Obstructed	
Cautioning	13 Wrong Way/Side of Street		
213a-d Driver Action (Record	1 per vehicle and describe in nar	rrative)	
00 Unknown	05 Parked	09 Ran Off Road	14 Avoiding
01 Backing	06 Entering/Leaving Parked	10 Changing Lanes	97 N/A
02 Turning Right	Position	11 Going Straight	99 Other
03 Turning Left	07 Making "U" Turn	12 Overtaking	
04 Stopped/Standing: Traffic Lane	08 Merging	13 Slowing/Stopping	
D I Inknown	04 Birvele	08 Dick-IID Truck	99 Other
			20.00
UL Passenger Auto	US Segway	U9 Recreational Vehicle	
02 Motorcycle	06 SUV	11 Scooter	
03 Moped	07 Minivan	97 N/A	
215a-d Vehicle Type: Governi	nent (Record 1 per vehicle and d	lescribe in narrative)	
00 Unknown	06 SUV	11 Bus (Seats 9-15 people, incl.	15 Unmarked Police Car
01 Passenger Auto	07 Minivan	driver)	16 Fire Truck
02 Motorcycle	08 Pick-up Truck	12 (Bus (seats more than 15	17 Other Emergency Vehicle
03 Moped	09 Recreational Vehicle	people, incl. driver)	97 N/A
04 Bicycle	10 Scooter	13 Truck	99 Other
05 Segway		14 Marked Police Car	
216a-d Vehicle Type: Comme	rcial (Record 1 per vehicle and d	escribe in narrative)	
00 Unknown Heavy Truck,	03 Bus (Seats 9-15 people,	06 Single-Unit Truck (3 or more	11 Taxi Cab
Unclassified, > 10,000 lb.	including driver)	axles)	15 people, including driver)
01 Passenger Auto (only if vehicle	04 Bus (seats more than	07 Truck/Trailer	97 N/A
has HM Placard)	05 Single-Unit Truck (2 axles, 6	08 Truck/Tractor (Bobtail)	99 Other
22 Light Truck (only if vehicle has HM Placard)	tires)	09 Tractor/Semitrailer 10 Tractor/Double	

	U/ Kight lurn on Ked	14 Detective Brakes, Lights, etc.	21 Cell Phone/Other Flectronic
04 NI - 16 - 1- 11 - 00			
01 No Violation	08 Stop Sign	15 Fail to Set Parking Brake	Device
32 Speed	09 Yield Sign	16 Open Door to Traffic	22 Other Distraction
03 Driver Inattention	10 Red Light Violation	17 Improper Backing	22 Road Defects
04 Following Too Close	11 Flashing/Directional Light	18 Drug/Alcohol Influence	99 Other
05 Improper Passing	12 Automobile/Pedestrian Right	19 Pedestrian Violation	
36 Changing Lanes Without	of Way	20 Driver Vision Obstructed	
Cautioning	13 Wrong Way/Side of Street		
213a-d Driver Action (Record	1 per vehicle and describe in na	rrative)	
00 Unknown	05 Parked	09 Ran Off Road	14 Avoiding
01 Backing	06 Entering/Leaving Parked	10 Changing Lanes	97 N/A
02 Turning Right	Position	11 Going Straight	99 Other
33 Turning Left	07 Making "U" Turn	12 Overtaking	
24 Stopped/Standing: Traffic Lane	08 Merging	13 Slowing/Stopping	
0 Unknown	04 Bicvcle	08 Pick-up Truck	99 Other
01 Passenger Auto	05 Segway	09 Recreational Vehicle	
32 Motorcycle	06 SUV	11 Scooter	
33 Moped	07 Minivan	97 N/A	
215a-d Vehicle Type: Govern	nent (Record 1 per vehicle and c	describe in narrative)	
0 Unknown	06 SUV	11 Bus (Seats 9-15 people, incl.	15 Unmarked Police Car
01 Passenger Auto	07 Minivan	driver)	16 Fire Truck
02 Motorcycle	08 Pick-up Truck	12 (Bus (seats more than 15	17 Other Emergency Vehicle
33 Moped	09 Recreational Vehicle	people, incl. driver)	97 N/A
04 Bicycle	10 Scooter	13 Truck	99 Other
J5 Segway		14 Marked Police Car	
216a-d Vehicle Type: Comme	rcial (Record 1 per vehicle and d	escribe in narrative)	
00 Unknown Heavy Truck,	03 Bus (Seats 9-15 people,	06 Single-Unit Truck (3 or more	11 Taxi Cab
Unclassified, > 10,000 lb.	including driver)	axles)	15 people, including driver)
01 Passenger Auto (only if vehicle	04 Bus (seats more than	07 Truck/Trailer	97 N/A
has HM Placard) 22 Light Truck (only if vehicle has	05 Single-Unit Truck (2 axles, 6 tires)	08 Truck/Tractor(Bobtall) 09 Tractor/Semitrailer	99 Other
HM Placard)		10 Tractor/Double	